

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/697,174	<b>Applicant(s)</b> LEVENE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Jwalant Amin	<b>Art Unit</b> 2628	

**All Participants:**

(1) Jwalant Amin.

(2) William Haulbrook.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 13 November 2006

**Time:** 2:30 p.m.

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-45

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

[Signature] 11/13/06  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called the applicant regarding proposed examiner's amendments. Though the applicant agreed to the proposed amendments, but in light of new evidence the amendments to the claims are not entered, and the claims are in their original form as submitted on 10/30/2003.